Phoenix High School

870 J Street • Lincoln, CA 95648 • (916) 645-6395 • Grades 10-12

Michael Doherty, Principal

mdoherty@wpusd.k12.ca.us

2012-13 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2013-14 School Year

Western Placer Unified School District

600 6th Street, Suite #400 Lincoln, CA 95648 (916) 645-6350 www.wpusd.k12.ca.us

District Governing Board

Paul Carras Brian Haley Kris Wyatt Damian Armitage Paul Long

District Administration

Scott Leaman
Superintendent

Mary Boyle **Deputy Superintendent**

Audrey Kilpatrick
Assistant Superintendent Business

Ryan Davis
Assistant Superintendent - HR

About the SARC

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. All data are reported for the 2012-13 school-year, unless otherwise indicated. For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/. For additional information about this school, please contact the school administration at (916) 645-6395.

School Description

Our vision statement is clear, focused: "where caring leads to success in learning" and sets the tone for building the culture of our school. It is our mission to create a program in which staff, students, parents, and the community work collaboratively to foster and encourage student learning. Phoenix's curricular emphasis is based on the state's common-core standards while focused on the various learning styles and achievement levels of our students. Student Outcomes or (ESLER's) are the motivating force in curricular development and teaching methodology. The Student Outcomes are intended to encourage and challenge students to become the following:

- Responsible citizens
- Effective communicators
- Academic achievers
- Career planners
- Healthy individuals

Students complete assignments, portfolios and projects to measure progress in meeting our Outcome goals. To ensure completion, a senior project based on the ESLER's is required for graduation. The administration and staff have high expectations for our students and strive to give them the opportunity to be successful and return to the comprehensive high school or graduate from Phoenix. The choice is always theirs.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement

- Initial meeting and orientation with Principal during the enrollment process.
- Mandatory student, parent and teacher meeting held within the first 2-3 wks to develop SLP
- Back-to-School and Open House events
- Results of CST and CASHEE testing are mailed to each parent.
- School welcome back letter and on-going newsletter
- School-wide phone messaging system, notification of up-coming events.
- School Site Counsel membership
- Re-visit Student Learning Plan conference (SLP)
- Parent conferencing
- Student celebration activities, STEP rewards
- Graduation ceremonies

As a staff, we are constantly working to increase parental involvement, planning creative events to encourage participation. However, with our ever changing student population each year presents a new set of challenges.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level				
Grade Level Number of Students				
Gr. 10	2			
Gr. 11	18			
Gr. 12	45			
Total	65			

Student Enrollment by Group				
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment			
Black or African American	3.1			
American Indian or Alaska Native	1.5			
Asian	3.1			
Filipino	1.5			
Hispanic or Latino	43.1			
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0.0			
White	47.7			
Two or More Races	0.0			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	55.4			
English Learners	20.0			
Students with Disabilities	10.8			

	Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution											
. al a:					Number of Classrooms*							
Ave	Average Class Size		1-20 21-32 33+									
Year	11	12	13	11	12	13	11	12	13	11	12	13
English	1	1		1	1	1	0	0		0	0	2
Math	1	0		1	0		0	0		0	0	
Science	1	8.5		1	2		0	0		0	0	
SS	1	17		2	1		0	0		0	0	

Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area, English, Math, Science and Social Science (SS), rather than grade level.

Suspensions and Expulsions					
Schoolwide	ride 10-11 11-12 12-13				
Suspensions Rate	143.68	233.80	252.30		
Expulsions Rate	3.45 4.62 1.54		1.54		
Districtwide	de 10-11 11-12 12-13		12-13		
Suspensions Rate	7.23	6.18	8.55		
Expulsions Rate	0.31	0.51	0.38		

The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment (and multiplying by 100).

School Safety Plan

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan, including the dates on which the safety plan was last reviewed, updated, and discussed with faculty; as well as a brief description of the key elements of the plan.

Goal:

To create and foster a positive, safe, and caring learning environment in which all students can feel safe, experience success, and build self-esteem.

Objectives

To provide and foster a proper learning environment that: is free of distractions, has a discipline policy applied fairly consistently, and timely; and allows for personal growth.

Related activities:

- a. Revised 2012-13 PHS School Handbook delivered to all students
- b. Consistently enforce the discipline policy and model desire classroom behaviors.
- c. Explain the District's attendance policies, procedures, expectations and consequences (SAM) with students at first day assembly.
- d. Continue to enforce tardy policy: if a student is late, then he/she will serve a same day detention (12:45 1:15 pm).
- e. PHS Period Schedule: students assigned to content area classrooms each period.
- f. Counselor on campus every Wednesday (8:00 am 12:00 pm) emphasis on school to career counseling exposing students to the opportunities available.
- g. Prompt rewards and recognition for student achievement by S.T.E.P. periods and trimesters.
- h. Celebrate students academic, attendance and citizenship successes
- i. Weekly (2) credits check on 18 year olds with extended day consequences. Expand to all students, the weekly credit check.
- j. Develop a sports program to increase student participation in extra curricular activities, develop self-esteem and collaboration with other students.

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2013-14)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Description of the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of the school facility
- Description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair

Year and month in which data were collected: 12/2013

This campus is in good repair and no major repairs are planned for 2014

School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2013-14)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The Overall Rating (bottom row)

School Facility Good Repair Status					
6 others become de-		Repai	r Status	Repair Needed and	
System Inspected	Good	F	air	Poor	Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	[X]		[]	[]	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	[X]		[]	[]	
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	[X]		[]	[]	
Electrical: Electrical	[X]		[]	[]	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	[X]		[]	[]	
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	[X]		[]	[]	Installed wireless intercom/paging system, summer, 2013
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	[X]		[]	[]	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	[X]		[]	[]	Ramps were re-surfaced and painted, summer, 2013
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	
	[]	[X]	[]	[]	

Teacher Credentials				
Schoolwide	10-11	11-12	12-13	
Fully Credentialed	6	4	5	
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	
Teaching Outside Subject Area	0	0	0	
Districtwide	10-11	11-12	12-13	
Fully Credentialed	•	+	297	
Without Full Credential	•	•	0	

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School					
Schoolwide 11-12 12-13 13-14					
Teachers of English Learners	0	1	0		
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	1	0		
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0		

[&]quot;Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

Professional Development

This section provides information on the number of days provided for professional development and continuous professional growth in the most recent three year period.

Staff selectively attends staff development workshops, conferences, etc. that addresses the specific needs of our programs, and can be of benefit to our students. The staff, as a group, attends the yearly CCEA conference, which address the unique and ongoing needs of continuation school students. Through their constant monitoring of student progress levels, the staffs is flexible in their delivery methods and are always looking for better ways of providing our students with a quality educational program.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers

The Federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), also known as No Child Left Behind (NCLB), requires that core academic subjects be taught by Highly Qualified Teachers, defined as having at least a bachelor's degree, an appropriate California teaching credential, and demonstrated core academic subject area competence. For more information, see the CDE *Improving Teacher and Principal Quality* webpage at: www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/

	<u> </u>				
Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers					
Location of Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers Qualified Teachers					
This School	50.0	50.0			
Districtwide					
All Schools	92.6	7.4			
High-Poverty Schools	92.0	8.0			
Low-Poverty Schools	92.9	7.1			

^{*} High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School			
Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)			
Academic Counselor	.2		
Social/Behavioral or Career Development Counselor	.2		
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0		
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0		
Psychologist	.2		
Social Worker	0		
Nurse	.2		
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0		
Resource Specialist	.2		
Other			
Average Number of Students per Staff Men	ber		
Academic Counselor	75		

One Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full-time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2011-12)

	Exp	Expenditures Per Pupil			
Level			Unrestricted	Teacher Salary	
School Site	\$13,775	\$1,790	\$11,579	\$67,515	
District	*	*	\$6,369	\$65,417	
State	* *		\$5,537	\$67,106	
Percent Difference: School Site/District		82%	12%		
Percent Difference: School Site/ State		112%	13%		

- Supplemental/Restricted expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted.
- * Basic/Unrestricted expenditures are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data Web site at: www.ed-data.org.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2011-12)					
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category			
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$34,011	\$40,928			
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$63,729	\$64,449			
Highest Teacher Salary	\$83,804	\$82,826			
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$99,585	\$102,640			
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$103,020	\$109,253			
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$114,664	\$118,527			
Superintendent Salary	\$156,004	\$183,968			
Percent of District Budget					
Teacher Salaries	42.2%	39.7%			
Administrative Salaries	5.6%	5.8%			

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2012-13)

This section provides specific information about the types of programs and services available at the school that support and assists students. For example, this narrative may include information about supplemental educational services related to the school's federal Program Improvement (PI) status.

Phoenix High School uses the majority of its Title 1/EIA funding to purchase On- Line/Credit Recovery Programs. This allows our students to choose other pathways to earn academic credits and improve their core academic skills in language arts, math, social studies and science. In addition, the programs provide students access to interventions, remediation, and preparation for the GED and CAHSEE tests. Last year, thirty-five percent of all credits earned by Phoenix students were obtained on-line. Students are able to work at their own pace, at home, the library, virtually anywhere and anytime. Future purchased will be made to enhance and supplement the various academic subjects and to address the varied academic deficiencies of our students.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2013-14)

This section describes whether the textbooks and instructional materials used at the school are from the most recent adoption; whether there are sufficient textbooks and instruction materials for each student; and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

Year and month in which data were collected: September 2013

	Textbooks and Instructional Materials
Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption
Reading/Language Arts	McDougall Littell Language of Literature, 2007; Hampton Brown EDGE (Intervention/ELD), 2007; other teacher-
The textbooks listed are Yes from most recent adoption: Percent of students lacking 0%	developed materials.
their own assigned textbook:	
Mathematics The textbooks listed are Yes from most recent adoption:	Algebra I, Geometry, Integrated Math 1 & 2 by McDougal Little, Practical Mathematics by Holt. Adopted in 2007; other teacher-developed materials.
Percent of students lacking 0% their own assigned textbook:	
Science The textbooks listed are Yes	Biology & Earth Science California Edition & Ag Science 2B by Prentice Hall. Adopted in 2007; other teacher-developed materials.
from most recent adoption: Percent of students lacking 0% their own assigned textbook:	
History-Social Science The textbooks listed are Yes from most recent adoption: Percent of students lacking 0%	TCI: Geography Alive Regions & People; McDougal Littell: Modern World History Patterns of Interaction & The Americans Reconstruction to the 21st Century; Prentice Hall: American Government – adopted 2008; other teacher developed materials.
their own assigned textbook:	la Co
Foreign Language The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Percent of students lacking N/A their own assigned textbook:	N/A
Health The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:	N/A
Percent of students lacking N/A their own assigned textbook:	
Visual and Performing Arts The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Percent of students lacking N/A their own assigned textbook:	N/A
Science Laboratory Equipment The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Percent of students lacking N/A their own assigned textbook:	N/A

<u>Note</u>: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including:

- California Standards Tests (CSTs), which include Englishlanguage arts (ELA) and mathematics (Math) in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science (H-SS) in grades eight, and nine through eleven.
- California Modified Assessment (CMA), an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards in ELA for grades three through eleven; mathematics for grades three through seven, Algebra I, and Geometry; and science in grades five and eight, and Life Science in grade ten. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations.
- California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA), includes ELA and mathematics in grades two through eleven, and science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations.

The assessments under the STAR Program show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. On each of these assessments, student scores are reported as performance levels.

For detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the Percent of Students not tested, see the CDE STAR Results Web site at star.cde.ca.gov.

STAR Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison									
	Po	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced							
Subject		School			District		State		
	10-11	11-12	12-13	10-11	11-12	12-13	10-11	11-12	12-13
ELA	15	17	14	59	62	58	54	56	55
Math				51	51	48	49	50	50
Science				62	63	60	57	60	59
H-SS	27	22	25	46	50	46	48	49	49

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible. Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

2013 STAR Results by Student Group					
Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced				
	ELA	Math	Science	H-SS	
All Students in the LEA	58	48	60	46	
All Student at the School	14			25	
Male	13			26	
Female					
Black or African American					
American Indian or Alaska Native					
Asian					
Filipino					
Hispanic or Latino					
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander					
White	25			27	
Two or More Races					
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	19			21	
English Learners					
Students with Disabilities					
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services					

California Physical Fitness Test Results

The California Physical Fitness Test (PFT) is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the Percent of Students meeting the fitness standards for the most recent testing period. For detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state, see the CDE PFT webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/.

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards				
	4 of 6	5 of 6	6 of 6		

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest webpage at dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., state Academic Performance Index [API], federal Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of state academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. For detailed information about the API, see the CDE API webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/.

API Growth by Student Group – Three-Year Comparison					
Curatura	Actual API Change				
Group	10-11	11-12	12-13		
All Students at the School	86				
Black or African American					
American Indian or Alaska Native					
Asian					
Filipino					
Hispanic or Latino					
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander					
White					
Two or More Races					
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged					
English Learners					
Students with Disabilities					

Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools' API ranks. The **statewide API** rank ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state. The **similar schools API rank** reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison						
API Rank 2010 2011 2012						
Statewide	В	В				
Similar Schools B B						

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2013-14)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. For detailed information about PI identification, see the CDE PI Status Determinations webpage: www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/tidetermine.asp.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status Not in PI		In PI
First Year of Program Improvement	2011-2012	
Year in Program Improvement	Year 2	
Number of Schools Currently in Program Ir	4	
Percent of Schools Currently in Program In	nprovement	36.0

API Growth by Student Group - 2013 Growth API Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the number of students included in the API and the 2013 Growth API (API-G) at the school, district, and state level

Group		School	District	State
All Students	Students		4,827	4,655,989
at the School	API-G		816	790
Black or	Students		74	296,463
African American	API-G		764	708
American Indian	Students		61	30,394
or Alaska Native	API-G		778	743
Asian	Students		183	406,527
	API-G		882	906
Filipino	Students		127	121,054
	API-G		871	867
Hispanic	Students		1,382	2,438,951
or Latino	API-G		767	744
Native Hawaiian/	Students		29	25,351
Pacific Islander	API-G		758	774
White	Students		2,797	1,200,127
	API-G		836	853
Two	Students		174	125,025
or More Races	API-G		819	824
Socioeconomically	Students		1,664	2,774,640
Disadvantaged	API-G		751	743
English Learners	Students		704	1,482,316
	API-G		711	721
Students	Students		658	527,476
with Disabilities	API-G		646	615

Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal ESEA requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

Detailed information about AYP, can be found at the CDE Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/.

AYP Criteria	School	District
Made AYP Overall	Yes	No
Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Met Participation Rate: Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts	Yes	No
Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics	Yes	No
Met API Criteria	N/A	Yes
Met Graduation Rate (if applicable)	N/A	Yes

Admission Requirements for California's Public Universities

University of California

Admission requirements for the University of California (UC) follow guidelines set forth in the Master Plan, which requires that the top one-eighth of the state's high school graduates, as well as those transfer students who have successfully completed specified college course work, be eligible for admission to the UC. These requirements are designed to ensure that all eligible students are adequately prepared for University-level work.

For general admissions requirements, please visit the UC Admissions Information webpage at www.universityofcalifornia.edu/admissions/. (Outside source)

California State University

Eligibility for admission to the California State University (CSU) is determined by three factors:

- Specific high school courses
- Grades in specified courses and test scores
- Graduation from high school

Some campuses have higher standards for particular majors or students who live outside the local campus area. Because of the number of students who apply, a few campuses have higher standards (supplementary admission criteria) for all applicants. Most CSU campuses have local admission guarantee policies for students who graduate or transfer from high schools and colleges that are historically served by a CSU campus in that region. For admission, application, and fee information see the CSU webpage at

www.calstate.edu/admission/admission.shtml. (Outside source)

Completion of High School Graduation Requirements

This table displays, by student group, the number of students who were a part of the school's most recent graduating class for which CDE has available data and meet all state and local graduation requirements for grade twelve completion, including having passed both the ELA and mathematics portions of the CAHSEE or received a local waiver or state exemption.

Completion of High School Graduation Requirements					
Group	Graduating Class of 2013				
Group	School	District	State		
All Students	22	665	418,598		
Black or African American		22	28,078		
American Indian or Alaska Native		6	3,123		
Asian	1	20	41,700		
Filipino	1	13	12,745		
Hispanic or Latino	7	136	193,516		
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander		3	2,585		
White	12	455	127,801		
Two or More Races		4	6,790		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3	66	31,683		
English Learners	6	66	93,297		
Students with Disabilities	12	292	217,915		

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate					
Indicator	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12		
Si	choolwide				
Dropout Rate (1-year)	12.70	7.00	10.60		
Graduation Rate					
Di	istrictwide				
Dropout Rate (1-year)	7.20	5.40	5.40		
Graduation Rate	92.62	91.64	94.40		
Statewide					
Dropout Rate (1-year)	16.60	14.70	13.10		
Graduation Rate	80.53	77.14	78.73		

The National Center for Education Statistics graduation rate as reported in AYP is provided in this table.

California High School Exit Examination

The California High School Exit Examination (CAHSEE) is primarily used as a graduation requirement. However, the grade ten results of this exam are also used to establish the percentages of students at three proficiency levels (not proficient, proficient, or advanced) in ELA and mathematics to compute AYP designations required by the federal ESEA, also known as NCLB. For detailed information regarding CAHSEE results, see the CDE CAHSEE Web site at cahsee.cde.ca.gov/.

CAHSEE Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced							
Subject 2010-11 2011-12 2012-13							
Sc	choolwide						
English-Language Arts	9						
Mathematics	8						
Di	istrictwide						
English-Language Arts	86	87	91				
Mathematics	86	85	94				
Statewide							
English-Language Arts	English-Language Arts 59 56 57						
Mathematics	56	58	60				

Advanced Placement Courses (School Year 2011–12)						
Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered*	Percent of Students In AP Courses				
Computer Science						
English						
Fine and Performing Arts						
Foreign Language						
Mathematics						
Science						
Social Science						
All courses						
* Where there are student source enrollments						

^{*} Where there are student course enrollments.

Group	English-Language Arts			Mathematics		
	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced
All Students in the LEA	9	91		4	96	
All Students at the School						
Male						
Female						
Black or African American						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Asian						
Filipino						
Hispanic or Latino						
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander						
White						
Two or More Races						
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged						
English Learners						
Students with Disabilities						
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services						

Career Technical Education Participation					
Measure	CTE Program Participation				
Number of pupils participating in CTE	0				
Percent of pupils completing a CTE program and earning a high school diploma	0				
Percent of CTE courses sequenced/ articulated between the school/ institutions of postsecondary education	0				

Courses for University of California and/or California State University				
UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent			
2012-13 Students Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	11.9			
2011-12 Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	0.0			

Career Technical Education Programs

This section provides information about Career Technical Education (CTE) programs including:

- · Programs and classes offered that are specifically focused on career preparation and or preparation for work
- How these programs and classes are integrated with academic courses and how they support academic achievement
- How the school addresses the needs of all students in career preparation and/or preparation for work, including needs unique to defined special populations of students
- The measurable outcomes of these programs and classes, and how they are evaluated
- State the primary representative of the district's CTE advisory committee and the industries represented on the committee

N/A