# Executive Summary School Accountability Report Card, 2010–11

### For Glen Edwards Middle

Address:	204 L St., Lincoln, CA, 95648-1674	Phone:	(916) 645-6370
Principal:	Shelly Hoover, Principal	Grade Span:	6-8

This executive summary of the School Accountability Report Card (SARC) is intended to provide parents and community members with a quick snapshot of information related to individual public schools. Most data presented in this report are reported for the 2010–11 school year. School finances and school completion data are reported for the 2009–10 school year. Contact information, facilities, curriculum and instructional materials, and select teacher data are reported for the 2011–12 school year. For additional information about the school, parents and community members should review the entire SARC or contact the school principal or the district office.

### About This School

Glen Edwards Middle School (GEMS) is one of two middle schools within the Western Placer Unified School District boundaries, serving approximately 700 students, grades sixth through eighth. Glen Edwards is located in the city of Lincoln, a changing semi-rural community situated in Placer County at the base of the Sierra Nevada Mountains. Students are our number one priority and our staff is dedicated to the shared mission of: creating a respectful, success-oriented, cooperative community that challenges all students to develop a curiosity for learning and to pursue academic excellence.

### Student Enrollment

Group	Enrollment
Number of students	699
Black or African American	0.9%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1.6%
Asian	2.4%
Filipino	1.6%
Hispanic or Latino	37.5%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.4%
White	53.9%

Two or More Races	1.7%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	51.5%
English Learners	21.9%
Students with Disabilities	11.7%

### **Teachers**

Indicator	Teachers
Teachers with full credential	31
Teachers without full credential	0
Teachers Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	1
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	1

### **Student Performance**

Subject	Students Proficient and Above on STAR* Program Results
English-Language Arts	56.2%
Mathematics	50.9%
Science	46%
History-Social Science	46%

\*Standardized Testing and Reporting Program assessments used for accountability purposes include the California Standards Tests, the California Modified Assessment, and the California Alternate Performance Assessment.

### **Academic Progress\***

Indicator	Result
2011 Growth API Score (from 2011 Growth API Report)	790
Statewide Rank (from 2010 Base API Report)	6
Met All 2011 AYP Requirements	No

Number of AYP Criteria Met Out of the Total Number of Criteria Possible	Met 17 of 21
2011–12 Program Improvement Status (PI Year)	Year 1

\*The Academic Performance Index is required under state law. Adequate Yearly Progress is required by federal law.

### **School Facilities**

#### **Summary of Most Recent Site Inspection**

The campus and grounds are clean and in good repair and condition.

#### **Repairs Needed**

Roofs need to be retro-fitted with gutters.

### **Corrective Actions Taken or Planned**

Sidewalk will be leveled where it is lifted by tree roots with the roots removed.

### **Curriculum and Instructional Materials**

Core Curriculum Area	Pupils Who Lack Textbooks and Instructional Materials
Reading/Language Arts	0%
Mathematics	0%
Science	0%
History-Social Science	0%
Foreign Language	N/A
Health	N/A
Visual and Performing Arts	0%
Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9- 12)	N/A

### **School Finances**

Level	Expenditures Per Pupil (Unrestricted Sources Only)
School Site	\$9,454

District	\$6,631
State	\$5,455

### **School Completion**

Indicator	Result
Graduation Rate (if applicable)	N/A

### **Postsecondary Preparation**

Measure	Percent
Pupils Who Completed a Career Technical Education Program and Earned a High School Diploma	N/A
Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for University of California or Califor University Admission	nia State N/A

## **School Accountability Report Card**

### **Reported Using Data from the 2010–11 School Year**

### Published During 2011–12

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <a href="http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/">http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/</a>.
- For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

### I. Data and Access

### **Ed-Data Partnership Web Site**

Ed-Data *is a partnership of the* CDE, EdSource, and the Fiscal Crisis and Management Assistance Team (FCMAT) that provides extensive financial, demographic, and performance information about California's public kindergarten through grade twelve school districts and schools.

#### DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <u>http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/</u> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., state Academic Performance Index [API], federal Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and

#### **Internet Access**

data regarding English learners.

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

### **II. About This School**

#### Contact Information (School Year 2011–12)

	School		District
School Name	Glen Edwards Middle	District Name	Western Placer Unified
Street	204 L St.	Phone Number	(916) 645-6350
City, State, Zip	Lincoln, CA, 95648-1674	Web Site	www.wpusd.k12.ca.us
Phone Number	(916) 645-6370	Superintendent	Scott Leaman
Principal	Shelly Hoover, Principal	E-mail Address	sleaman@wpusd.k12.ca.us
E-mail Address	shoover@wpusd.k12.ca.us	CDS Code	31669516108351

#### School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2010–11)

Glen Edwards Middle School (GEMS) is one of two middle schools within the Western Placer Unified School District boundaries, serving approximately 700 students, grades sixth through eighth. Glen Edwards is located in the city of Lincoln, a changing semi-rural community situated in Placer County at the base of the Sierra Nevada Mountains. Students are our number one priority and our staff is dedicated to the shared mission of: creating a respectful, success-oriented, cooperative community that challenges all students to develop a curiosity for learning and to pursue academic excellence.

#### **Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2010–11)**

Parents and family members are encouraged to be involved. Opportunities for involvement include: the School Site Council (SSC); Association of Parents, Teachers, and Students (APTS); Watch D.O.G.S.; Eyes on Campus; School Wide Positive Behavior Support (PBS) Team; Master Schedule Committee; classroom visitations; and fundraising for specific programs.

Grade Level	Number of Students	Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	0	Grade 8	202
Grade 1	0	Ungraded Elementary	0
Grade 2	0	Grade 9	0
Grade 3	0	Grade 10	0
Grade 4	0	Grade 11	0
Grade 5	0	Grade 12	0
Grade 6	248	Ungraded Secondary	0
Grade 7	249	Total Enrollment	699

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2010–11)

### Student Enrollment by Subgroup (School Year 2010–11)

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	0.9%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1.6%
Asian	2.4%
Filipino	1.6%
Hispanic or Latino	37.5%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.4%
White	53.9%
Two or More Races	1.7%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	51.5%
English Learners	21.9%
Students with Disabilities	11.7%

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

Grade	Avg. Class		–09 Nu Classe		Avg. Class			Avg. Class	2010–11 Number of Classes*			
Level	Size	1-20	21-32	33+	Size	1-20	21-32	33+	Size	1-20	21- 32	33+
к	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Other	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom).

Subject	Avg. Class	N	2008–0 umber Classes	of	Avg. I Class		2009–10 Number of Classes*		Avg. Class	2010–11 Number of Classes*		
	Size	1- 22	23-32	33+	Size	1- 22	23-32	33+	Size	1- 22	23- 32	33+
English	29.7	0	14	3	27.6	8	16	3	25.5	7	12	8
Mathematics	30.9	0	8	3	25.9	3	23	4	24.1	8	14	7
Science	32.6	0	6	7	29.9	3	17	4	28.7	4	14	6
Social Science	31.6	0	7	5	28.1	2	16	4	31.3	2	12	8

### Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

\* Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

### **III. School Climate**

School Safety Plan (School Year 2010–11)

#### Goal:

To create and foster a positive, safe, and caring learning environment in which all students can feel safe and

#### experience success.

#### **Objectives:**

- Increase consistency among staff related to discipline and procedures; thus, reducing the amount of time students out of the classroom due to discipline/consequences.
- Increase courtesy and mutual respect among our students and staff.
- Increase student ownership and pride of their environment and behavior.

### **Suspensions and Expulsions**

Rate*	School 2008–09	School 2009–10	School 2010–11	District 2008–09	District 2009–10	District 2010–11
Suspensions	38.2	29.4	16.7	8.7	12.4	N/D
Expulsions	2.0	.05	.004	.5	.3	.002

\* The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment.

### **IV. School Facilities**

#### School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2011–12)

The school campus and grounds are in good repair and condition. Roots are to be removed where they are lifting sidewalks and sidewalks will be leveled. Roofs to be retro-fitted with gutters in the summer of 2012.

### School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2011–12)

System Inspected	Rep	bair Sta	atus	Repair Needed and	
	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	Action Taken or Planned
<b>Systems:</b> Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer		х	N/A	N/A	N/A
Interior: Interior Surfaces		х	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation		х	N/A	N/A	N/A
Electrical: Electrical		х	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Restrooms/Fountains:</b> Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains		х	N/A	N/A	N/A
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials		х	N/A	N/A	N/A
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs		Х	N/A	N/A	Retro-fit with gutters

<b>External:</b> Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences		х	N/A	N/A	N/A
Overall Rating	N/A	Х	N/A	N/A	

Note: Cells shaded in black do not require data.

### V. Teachers

### **Teacher Credentials**

Teachers	School 2008–09	School 2009–10	School 2010–11	District 2010–11
With Full Credential	29	30	31	324
Without Full Credential	1	0	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	1	1	Ο

### **Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions**

Indicator	2009–10	2010–11	2011–12
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments*	1	0	1
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

\* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

### Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2010–11)

The Federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), also known as No Child Left Behind (NCLB), requires that core academic subjects be taught by Highly Qualified Teachers, defined as having at least a bachelor's degree, an appropriate California teaching credential, and demonstrated core academic subject area competence. For more information, see the CDE *Improving Teacher and Principal Quality* Web page at: <u>http://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/</u>

Location of Classes Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Taught by

	Highly Qualified Teachers	Qualified Teachers
This School	100.00%	0.00%
All Schools in District	100.00%	0.00%
High-Poverty Schools in District	100.00%	0.00%
Low-Poverty Schools in District	100.00%	0.00%

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40

percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student

eligibility of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

### **VI. Support Staff**

### Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2010–11)

Title	Number of FTE* Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	1	688
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0	
Library Media Teacher (librarian)	0	
Library Media Services Staff (paraprofessional)	1	
Psychologist	.50	
Social Worker	0	
Nurse	.20	
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	.50	
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	0	
Other	5	

Note: Cells shaded in black do not require data.

\* One Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full-time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full-time.

### **VII. Curriculum and Instructional Materials**

# Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2011–12)

This section describes whether the textbooks and instructional materials used at the school are from the most recent adoption; whether there are sufficient textbooks and instruction materials for each student; and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and instructional materials/year of adoption	From most recent adoption?	Percent students lacking own assigned copy
Reading/Language Arts	Holt Literature & Language Arts 2003; Hampton Brown High Point Intervention/ELD 2003	YES	0%
Mathematics	Holt Mathematics Course 1 & Course 2009; McDougall Little Algebra 2009	YES	0%
Science	Prentice Hall: Earth Science; Life Science; Physical Science 2008	YES	0%
History-Social Science	Holt, Rinehart & Winston: World History/Ancient Civilizations; World History/Medieval to Early Modern Times; U.S. History Independence to 1914 (2007)	YES	0%
Foreign Language	N/A	N/A	N/A
Health	N/A	N/A	N/A
Visual and Performing Arts	N/A	N/A	N/A
Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9- 12)	N/A	N/A	N/A

#### Year and month in which data were collected: August 2011

### **VIII. School Finances**

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2009–10)

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental / Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Basic / Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$9,454	\$2,011	\$7,443	\$68,768
District			\$6,361	\$65,415
Percent			17%	6%



Note: Cells shaded in black do not require data.

*Supplemental/Restricted* expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted. *Basic/unrestricted* expenditures are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the *CDE Current Expense* of *Education & Per-pupil Spending* Web page at <u>http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/</u>. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE *Certificated Salaries & Benefits* Web page at <u>http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/</u>. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data Web site at: http://www.ed-data.org.

#### Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2010–11)

Staff professional development, instructional support, and extended learning time are funded by Title I. Enrichment programs such as Odyssey of the Mind, are funded by GATE. Staff professional development, instructional materials and support for English Learners are funded by EIA.

Specific programs include AVID, Better 2 Best, afterschool-tutoring, and Language Arts and Math intervention during the school day.

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$34,771	\$41,284
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$65,153	\$65,173
Highest Teacher Salary	\$85,676	\$83,460
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$101,519	\$102,834
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$105,021	\$108,953

### Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2009–10)

Average Principal Salary (High)	\$116,787	\$118,384
Superintendent Salary	\$158,442	\$179,397
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	42.00%	40.00%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	6.00%	6.00%

Note: For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <a href="http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/">http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/</a>.

### **IX. Student Performance**

Standardized Testing and Reporting Program

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including:

- California Standards Tests (CSTs), which include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and nine through eleven.
- California Modified Assessment (CMA), an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards in ELA for grades three through eleven; mathematics for grades three through seven, Algebra I, and Geometry; and science in grades five and eight, and Life Science in grade ten. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations.
- California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA), includes ELA and mathematics in grades two through eleven, and science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations.

The assessments under the STAR Program show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. On each of these assessments, student scores are reported as performance levels.

For detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, see the CDE STAR Results Web site at <a href="http://star.cde.ca.gov">http://star.cde.ca.gov</a>.

### Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students – Three-Year

Comparison

		the state standards)							
	School		District			State			
	2008– 09	2009– 10	2010– 11	2008– 09	2009– 10	2010– 11	2008– 09	2009– 10	2010– 11
English- Language Arts	58.4	54.6	56.2	62.4	62.9	64.4	50.0	54.0	56.2
Mathematics	52.3	48.8	50.9	63.7	64.3	64.3	46.0	56.4	58.4
Science	59.0	51.0	46.0	53.0	68.0	69.0	50.0	55.0	58.0
History-Social Science	35.0	44.0	46.0	41.0	60.0	61.0	41.0	47.0	50.0

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

### Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group – Most Recent Year

	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced						
Group	English- Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	History- Social Science			
All Students in the LEA	64.3%	64.4%	61%	46%			
All Students at the School	56.2%	50.9%	46%	46%			
Male	48.3%	49.4%	41.3%	41.3%			
Female	59.5%	47.6%	48.8%	51.2%			
Black or African American	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
American Indian or Alaska Native	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Asian	70.6%	52.9%	50%	50%			
Filipino	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Hispanic or Latino	43.7%	44.9%	50%	50%			
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
White	64.5%	56%	50%	52.9%			
Two or More Races	63.6%	45.5%					

Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	42.9%	39.5%		
English Learners	29.5%	35.6%	1.5%	4.3%
Students with Disabilities	34.1%	29.5%	29%	22.5%
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

### California High School Exit Examination

The California High School Exit Examination (CAHSEE) is primarily used as a graduation requirement. However, the grade ten results of this exam are also used to establish the percentages of students at three proficiency levels (not proficient, proficient, or advanced) in ELA and mathematics to compute AYP designations required by the federal ESEA, also known as NCLB.

*For detailed information regarding CAHSEE results, see the CDE* CAHSEE Web site at <u>http://cahsee.cde.ca.gov/</u>.

### California High School Exit Examination Results for All Grade Ten Students – Three-Year Comparison (if applicable)

		Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced							
Subject		School			District			State	
	2008– 09	2009– 10	2010– 11	2008– 09	2009– 10	2010– 11	2008– 09	2009– 10	2010– 11
English- Language Arts	N/A	N/A	N/A	86	82	86	80	80	77
Mathematics	N/A	N/A	N/A	86	85	86	81	81	77

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

# California High School Exit Examination Grade Ten Results by Student Group – Most Recent Year (if applicable)

	English-Language Arts			Mathematics		
Group	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced

All Students in the LEA	14%	86%	N/A	14%	86%	N/A
All Students at the School	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Male	16%	84%	N/A	12%	88%	N/A
Female	13%	87%	N/A	17%	83%	N/A
Black or African American	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
American Indian or Alaska Native	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Asian	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Filipino	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hispanic or Latino	24%	76%	N/A	22%	78%	N/A
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
White	11%	89%	N/A	12%	88%	N/A
Two or More Races	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	26%	74%	N/A	23%	77%	N/A
English Learners	50%	50%	N/A	30%	70%	N/A
Students with Disabilities	67%	33%	N/A	28%	72%	N/A
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

### California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2010–11)

The California Physical Fitness Test (PFT) is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting the fitness standards for the most recent testing period. For detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state, see the CDE *PFT* Web page at <u>http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/</u>.

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards							
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards					
5	76.4%	56.7%	33.5%					

7	77.6%	57.2%	31.4%
9	87.1%	66.9%	4.62%

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

### X. Accountability

### **Academic Performance Index**

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of state academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. For detailed information about the API, see the CDE *API Web page at* <u>http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/</u>.

### Academic Performance Index Ranks – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools' API ranks. The *statewide API rank* ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state.

The *similar schools API rank* reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

API Rank	2008	2009	2010
Statewide	6	7	6
Similar Schools	3	6	2

### Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group – Three-Year Comparison

Group	Actual API Change 2008–09	Actual API Change 2009–10	Actual API Change 2010–11
All Students at the School	28	-7	5
Black or African American			
American Indian or Alaska Native			
Asian			

Filipino			
Hispanic or Latino	62	-19	33
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			
White	17	1	-10
Two or More Races			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	48	-15	14
English Learners		-26	21
Students with Disabilities			

Note: "N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report. "B" means the school did not have a valid API Base and there is no Growth or target information. "C" means the school had significant demographic changes and there is no Growth or target information.

### Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group – 2011 Growth API Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the number of students included in the API and the 2011 Growth API at the school, LEA, and state level.

	2011 Growth API							
Group	Number of Students	School	Number of Students	LEA	Number of Students	State		
All Students at the School	640	790	4,674	822	4,683,676	778		
Black or African American	3		79	759	317,856	696		
American Indian or Alaska Native	10		48	783	33,774	733		
Asian	17	847	160	882	398,869	898		
Filipino	10		136	887	123,245	859		
Hispanic or Latino	245	754	1,303	769	2,406,749	729		
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	3		25	806	26,953	764		
White	339	816	2,766	841	1,258,831	845		

Two or More Races	11	814	147	858	76,766	836
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	301	739	1,561	754	2,731,843	726
English Learners	146	690	692	712	1,521,844	707
Students with Disabilities	88	624	673	664	521,815	595

### Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal ESEA requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

For detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, see the CDE *AYP Web page at* <u>http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/</u>.

### Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2010–11)

AYP Criteria	School	District
Made AYP Overall	No	No
Met Participation Rate - English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Met Participation Rate - Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient - English-Language Arts	No	No
Met Percent Proficient - Mathematics	No	No
Met API Criteria	Yes	Yes
Met Graduation Rate	N/A	Yes

### Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2011–12)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention

with each additional year that they do not make AYP. For detailed information about PI identification, see the CDE *PI Status Determinations Web* page: <u>http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/tidetermine.asp</u>.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	In Pl	In Pl
First Year of Program Improvement	2011-2012	2011-2012
Year in Program Improvement	Year 1	Year 1
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		3
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		23.1%

Note: Cells shaded in black do not require data.

### XI. School Completion and Postsecondary Preparation

### Admission Requirements for California's Public Universities

#### **University of California**

Admission requirements for the University of California (UC) follow guidelines set forth in the Master Plan, which requires that the top one-eighth of the state's high school graduates, as well as those transfer students who have successfully completed specified college course work, be eligible for admission to the UC. These requirements are designed to ensure that all eligible students are adequately prepared for University-level work.

For general admissions requirements, please visit the *UC Admissions Information* Web page at <u>http://www.universityofcalifornia.edu/admissions/</u>. (Outside source)

#### **California State University**

Eligibility for admission to the California State University (CSU) is determined by three factors:

- Specific high school courses
- Grades in specified courses and test scores
- Graduation from high school

Some campuses have higher standards for particular majors or students who live outside the local campus area. Because of the number of students who apply, a few campuses have higher standards (supplementary admission criteria) for all applicants. Most CSU campuses have local admission guarantee policies for students who graduate or transfer from high schools and colleges that are historically served by a CSU campus in that region. For admission, application, and fee information see the *CSU Web page at* http://www.calstate.edu/admission/admission.shtml. (Outside source)

#### **Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate**

	School				District			State		
Indicator	2007– 08	2008– 09	2009– 10	2007– 08	2008– 09	2009– 10	2007– 08	2008– 09	2009– 10	
Dropout Rate (1-year)	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.1	6.7	4.1	4.9	5.7	4.6	
Graduation Rate	N/A	N/A	N/A	82.53	86.35	85.68	80.21	78.59	80.44	

Note: The National Center for Education Statistics graduation rate as reported in AYP is provided in this table.

#### **Completion of High School Graduation Requirements**

This table displays, by student group, the percent of students who began the 2010–11 school year in grade twelve and were a part of the school's most recent graduating class, meeting all state and local graduation requirements for grade twelve completion, including having passed both the ELA and mathematics portions of the CAHSEE or received a local waiver or state exemption.

Group	Graduating Class of 2011			
	School	District	State	
All Students	N/A	LEA Provided	N/D	
Black or African American	N/A	LEA Provided	N/D	
American Indian or Alaska Native	N/A	LEA Provided	N/D	
Asian	N/A	LEA Provided	N/D	
Filipino	N/A	LEA Provided	N/D	
Hispanic or Latino	N/A	LEA Provided	N/D	
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	N/A	LEA Provided	N/D	
White	N/A	LEA Provided	N/D	
Two or More Races	N/A	LEA Provided	N/D	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	N/A	LEA Provided	N/D	
English Learners	N/A	LEA Provided	N/D	
Students with Disabilities	N/A	LEA Provided	N/D	

Note: "N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report.

#### Career Technical Education Programs (School Year 2010–11)

Narrative provided by the LEA.

### Career Technical Education Participation (School Year 2010–11)

Measure	CTE Program Participation
Number of pupils participating in CTE	LEA Provided
Percent of pupils completing a CTE program and earning a high school diploma	LEA Provided
Percent of CTE courses sequenced or articulated between the school and institutions of postsecondary education	LEA Provided

### Courses for University of California and/or California State University Admission

UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent
2010-11 Students Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	83.2%
2009-10 Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	31.7%

### Advanced Placement Courses (School Year 2010–11)

Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered*	Percent of Students In AP Courses
Computer Science		
English		
Fine and Performing Arts		
Foreign Language		
Mathematics		
Science		
Social Science		
All courses		

Note: Cells shaded in black do not require data. \*Where there are student course enrollments.

### XII. Instructional Planning and Scheduling

### **Professional Development**

This section provides information on the annual number of school days dedicated to staff development for the most recent three-year period.

Western Placer Unified School District provided three Staff Development Days each year for the last three years. One Staff Development Day was taken as a furlough day in 2011 – 2012. Additionally, many opportunities are available for staff development credit, both during the school day and after hours for Staff Development Buyback credit.

### Glen Edwards Middle

### School Accountability Report Card, 2010-2011

Western Placer Unified

#### Provided by the Ed-Data Partnership

For more information visit <u>www.ed-data.org</u>